

A-level **Physics**

PHA5/2A – Astrophysics Mark scheme

2450 June 2017

Version: 1.0 Final

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2017 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Question	Answers	Additional Comments/Guidance	Mark	ID details
1 (a) (i)	correct diagram showing four parallel co-axial rays, with outer rays brought to focus on the principal axis \checkmark at a point closer to mirror than inner rays \checkmark	If rays do not cross on PA Or if outer rays focused further away 1 max	2	AO1
1 (a) (ii)	(use of) parabolic mirror ✓	Ignore references to 'secondary'	1	AO1
1 (b) (i)	correct diagram showing two mirrors, one concave, one convex \checkmark		1	AO1
1 (b) (ii)	mirror blocks light so less light hits objective mirror ✓ light diffracted passing secondary mirror affects image ✓		2	AO1
1 (c) (i)	(light collecting power is proportional to area) area of four telescopes of diameter $8.2 \text{ m} = 4 \text{ m} (d/2)^2 = 211 \text{ m}^2 \checkmark$ single telescope of this area has a diameter $= 2\sqrt{(A/\pi)} = 16.4 \text{ m} \checkmark$ [alternatively light collecting power is proportional to area, area is proportion to diameter ² therefore diameter ² of four telescopes = diameter ² of single telescope $4 \times (8.2)^2 = d^2 \checkmark$ $d = 16.4 \text{ m} \checkmark$]	Use of 4 π r ² Or suggestion r = d 1 max	2	AO2
1 (c) (ii)	use of $\theta = \frac{\lambda}{d}$ gives $\theta = \frac{300 \times 10^{-9}}{130} = 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$ rad \checkmark	Condone 1 sf answer	1	AO2
1 (c) (iii)	Infrared		1	AO1

Total		1	10	
Question	Answers A	Additional Comments/Guidance	Mark	ID details
2 (a) (i)	$\lambda_{max} = 0.0029/3750$ = 7.7 x 10 ⁻⁷ m \checkmark		1	AO2
2 (a) (ii)	Graph with correct shape ✓PenalisWavelength axis labelledCondorWith peak just below 8 x 10 ⁻⁷ m. ✓Allow condor	e LHS cutting y-axis ne one value – eg peak e for 2ai	2	AO1 AO2
2 (b) (i)	Use of $m - M = 5 \log (d/10)$ to give $4.08 - (-7.63) = 11.71 = 5 \log (d/10) \checkmark$ $\log (d/10) = 2.34$ $d/10 = 220$ or $d = 2200\checkmark$ $d = 2200 \text{ pc} = 7.2 \times 10^3 \text{ lyr} \checkmark$ Treat conformation	onversion to lyr as skill mark, give credit value in pc used.	3	AO2
2 (b) (ii)	use of $P = \sigma A T^4$ and $A = 4\pi r^2 \checkmark$ Penalis Or using With no $P_g/P_s = \sigma A_g T_g^4 / \sigma A_s T_s^4$ With no $= r_g^2 T_g^4 / r_s^2 T_s^4 \checkmark$ =5.3 x 10 ⁵	e use of r ³ g T for T ⁴ ecf	2	AO2
Total			8	

Question	Answers	Additional Comments/Guidance	Mark	ID details
3 (a) (i)	use of $\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} = -\frac{v}{c}$ to give $v = \frac{(656.35 - 656.28)}{656.28} \times 3 \times 10^8 \checkmark$ $= 3.2 \times 10^4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \checkmark$	Use of $656.34 = \max 1$ Use of $656.21 = \max 1$ Use of 656.35 on bottom of equation = max 1 Allow $656.28-656.21$ on top line.	2	AO2
3 (a) (ii)	circumference of orbit: = orbital speed × orbital period = $3.2 \times 10^4 \times 8 \times 24 \times 3600 \checkmark$ = 2.21×10^{10} m (allow ce for 30 km s ⁻¹) diameter: = $2.21 \times 10^{10}/3.14 = 7.04 \times 10^9$ m \checkmark	Use of radius = 1 max Pot error for km to metres = 1 max	2	AO2
3 (b) (i)	8 days marked after one cycle ✓		1	AO2
3 (b) (ii)	lowest value of apparent magnitude (3.3) occurs when both stars can be seen \checkmark first (smaller) dip occurs when hotter star is in front of cooler star \checkmark second (larger) dip occurs when cooler star is in front of hotter star \checkmark	Condone brighter/dimmer for hotter/cooler	3	AO1
Total			8	7

Question	Answers	Additional Comments/Guidance	Mark	ID details
	The candidate's writing should be legible and the spelling, punctuation and grammar should be sufficiently accurate for the meaning to be clear.			AO1
	The candidate's answer will be assessed holistically. The answer will be following criteria.	e candidate's answer will be assessed holistically. The answer will be assigned to one of three levels according to the lowing criteria. gh Level (Good to excellent): 5 or 6 marks		
	High Level (Good to excellent): 5 or 6 marks			
	The information conveyed by the answer is clearly organised, logical ar vocabulary correctly. The form and style of writing is appropriate to an	nd coherent, using appropriate specialist swer the question.		
	The candidate states that the distance an object is away can be determ apparent magnitude is measured. The candidate also gives a statement that the absolute magnitudes of s shows that the Universe is expanding at a faster rate than when the su	nined if its absolute magnitude is known and its some supernovae is known and that evidence pernovae were produced.		
	Intermediate Level (Modest to adequate): 3 or 4 marks	ntermediate Level (Modest to adequate): 3 or 4 marks		
4 (a)	The information conveyed by the answer may be less well organised an specialist vocabulary, or specialist vocabulary may be used incorrectly.	nd not fully coherent. There is less use of The form and style of writing is less appropriate.	Max 6	
	The candidate states that the distance to some supernovae can be determined by the statement that there is evidence that suggests that the expansion of the statement that they may not recognise that Hubble's Law sl closer).	ermined, but the reasoning is much more limited. nsion of the Universe is accelerating and that hows that the supernovae should be brighter (ie		
	Low Level (Poor to limited): 1 or 2 marks			
	The information conveyed by the answer is poorly organised and may ruse of specialist vocabulary. The form and style of writing may be only	not be relevant or coherent. There is little correct partly appropriate.		
	The candidate recognises that there is a controversy about the expansi methods of determining distance and their explanation of why there is a vague.	ion of the Universe. They may confuse the two evidence for an accelerating Universe may be		

	The explanation expected in a competent answer should include a coherent selection of the following points concerning the physical principles involved and their consequences in this case.		
	• the absolute magnitude of (some) supernovae is known, this allows supernovae to be used as standard candles		
	• using the inverse square law (or from values of absolute magnitudes) allows the distance to be calculated		
	 supernovae are very bright – so they can be seen in very distant galaxies 		
	 it has taken billions of years for the light from the most distant galaxies to reach Earth; these supernovae were therefore produced when the Universe was young 		
	 measurement of red shift (to measure velocity) and use of Hubble's Law shows that these supernovae are fainter than expected 		
	 this indicates that the Universe is expanding faster now than when the supernovae exploded as the light has had to travel further to reach us than expected by a constant rate of expansion 		
	use of $z = v/c$		AO2
4 (b) (i)	to give $z = 1100 \times 10^3 / 3 \times 10^8$	1	
	$= 3(.67) \times 10^{-3} \checkmark$		
	use of v = Hd		AO2
4 (b) (iii)	to give $d = v/H$	2	
4 (D) (II)	= 1100/65 ✓	2	
	= 17 (Mpc) ✓		
Total		9	
L			1